

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

4:22-CR-3027

vs.

ORDER

TYLER DENBY,

Defendant.

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation ([filing 50](#)) recommending that the Court deny the defendant's motion to suppress ([filing 34](#)). The defendant has not objected to the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendation. *See NECrimR 59.2(a)*.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) provides for de novo review of a Magistrate Judge's findings or recommendations only when a party objects to them. *Peretz v. United States*, 501 U.S. 923 (1991). Failure to object to a finding of fact in a Magistrate Judge's recommendation may be construed as a waiver of the right to object from the district court's order adopting the recommendation of the finding of fact. *NECrimR 59.2(e)*. The defendant was expressly advised that "failing to file an objection to this recommendation as provided in the local rules of this court may be held to be a waiver of any right to appeal the court's adoption of the recommendation." [Filing 50 at 22](#). And the failure to file an objection eliminates not only the need for de novo review, but any review by the Court. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Leonard v. Dorsey & Whitney LLP*, 553 F.3d 609 (8th Cir. 2009); *see also United States v. Meyer*, 439 F.3d 855, 858-59 (8th Cir. 2006).


Accordingly, the Court will adopt the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that the defendant's motion to suppress be denied, and any objection is deemed waived.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation ([filing 50](#)) are adopted.
2. The defendant's motion to suppress ([filing 34](#)) is denied.

Dated this 22nd day of August, 2023.

BY THE COURT:



John M. Gerrard
Senior United States District Judge